be allowed in all cases of immovable property whether there are points of law or not, or at least in cases of property more than Rs. 500 in value.

Reply of Government.— Cannot be done.

SUBJECT No. 37.

Depositions of witnesses in civil courts.

(*a*) Messrs. S R. Balakrishna Rao, Shimoga municipality, Gundappa, Mysore District Board, H. Sura Sastri, Chikballapur taluk, B. Narasinga Rao, Seringapatam taluk, B. Venkatasamanna, Chitaldrug municipality, K. Ramanna, Hole Narsipur taluk, S. Ramanna, Hassan municipality, S. Venkatesiah, Hassan District Agricultural and Industrial Association, Hutchveeregowda, Gubbi taluk, V Raghavachar, Pavagada taluk, C. Krishnamurti, Law Association, Bangalore, Adinaranayya, Dodballapur taluk, and Ranganna, Dodballapur municipality.

(*a*) & (*b*) Mr. H. Narasinga Rao, Bar Association, Mysore.

Summary of discussion.—(*a*) In civil cases in which an appeal is allowed the depositions of witnesses were being taken down in Kannada by the court clerk, the Judge taking down in English only notes of important facts. This was abolished and the judges were directed to take down the depositions in English only. The hardship caused to the litigant public by the introduction of this system was forcibly brought to the notice of Government last year and the Government were pleased to state that the old system would be revived. No orders have yet been issued to the courts and it is prayed that the orders may be issued reviving the old practice of double record system.

(*b*) A similar system may be introduced in all the criminal courts also where the Magistrates are authorized to take down evidence in the English language.

Mr. S. R. Balakrishna Rao.—Sometimes the judge takes down something quite different from what the witness states. It is difficult to take down in English exactly what the witness states in Kannada.

1st Councillor.— Sometimes the recording of evidence by munshis is very incomplete.

Mr. S. R. Balakrishna Rao.—In this matter, it is not the view of convenience to appellate judges that should prevail but convenience to parties.

Mr. Sura Sastri prayed that the old practice should be revived.

Messrs. S. Ramanna and C. Krishnamurti also urged the same view.

Reply of Government.—Noted. Will be considered.

SUBJECT No. 38.

Copies of plaint petitions to the accused in criminal cases.

Messrs. Adinaranaiya, Dodballapur taluk, and A. Ranganna, Dodballapur municipality.

Summary of discussion.—In criminal cases a copy of the complaint petition may be caused to be given with the summons to the accused to prepare himself for the defence and save expense.

Reply of Government.—Under consideration.

SUBJECT No. 39.

Cost of copies to be included in the decree amount.

Mr G. Narasimhachar, T.-Narsipur taluk.

Summary of discussion.—In order to proceed against immovable properties in execution cases it is necessary to produce decree copy, Registrar's certificate and extract copy. Formerly costs to obtain these were included in